

## **REMARKS**

Claims 65-82 have been rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting. It is believed that the Terminal Disclaimer filed herewith is sufficient to overcome the double patenting rejection.

The Examiner has rejected claims 65-66 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) based on Nagasaka et al. (US 5818439), claims 67-74, 76-66, 79, and 83-84 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) based on Wactlar et al. (US 5835667), and claims 74, 78, and 80-82 based on Wactlar in view of Stelovsky (US 5613909).

The rejection is respectfully traversed. With respect to claim 65, the amended claim recites “displaying the audiovisual information with a display device; controlling review of the body of audiovisual information with a user control device, the user control device being physically separate from the display device, the user control device including a graphical user interface for enabling specification of control instructions; and displaying secondary information using the user control device.” Nagasaka discloses a video playback system with a single display screen with a monitor area for video viewing and a control panel with buttons for playback, stop, and other operations. (FIG. 9, col. 13, ll. 40-52, Nagasaka). Nagasaka does not, however, teach a user control device that is physically separate from the display device and is used to display secondary information. As such, claim 65 is believed to be allowable.

Similar to claim 65, claim 66 recites “the user control device being physically separate from the display device, the user control device including a graphical user interface for enabling specification of user control instructions by a user” and “displaying secondary information using the user control device.” As such, claim 66 is believed to be allowable.

With respect to claim 67, the claim recites a system comprising “means for acquiring data representing the body of information; first display means for generating a display of a first segment of the body of information as the data representing the first segment is acquired by the means for acquiring” and “second display means for generating a display of a portion of, or a representation of, a second segment of the body of information, wherein the second display means displays the portion or representation of the second segment in response to the display by the first display means of a first segment to which the second segment is related”, “wherein the

display of the first segment by the first display means is substantially coextensive in time with the display of the second segment by the second display means.” Support for the claim may be found, without limitation, at p. 9, ll. 15-18 of the specification.

Wactlar discloses a digital library system that has an offline portion that involves the creation of the digital library, and an online portion used in the exploration of the library (FIG. 1, col. 6, ll. 9-16; Wactlar). Specifically, Wactlar teaches that the offline portion receives raw video material comprising audio and video data, and that the raw video material incorporates not only television footage but also unedited source materials (col. 6, ll. 17-32). Wactlar’s digital library system, however, does not include “means for acquiring data representing the body of information.” Nor does Wactlar’s system include “first display means for generating a display of a first segment of the body of information **as the data representing the first segment is acquired by the means for acquiring.**” (emphasis added.)

Further, Wactlar teaches using speech recognition, natural language processing, and image understanding to create a digital library that supports the intelligent search of large corpora of digital video and audio (col. 7, ll. 34-37). Specifically, Wactlar discloses content-based image understanding that uses involves a content based video segmentation step made up of three functions: video data digitization, paragraphing function, and icon generation (col. 11, ll. 44-65, col. 13, ll. 52-59). Wactlar’s icons are created for subsequent presentation to the user performing a search (col. 13, ll. 52-59). Wactlar shows examples of icons retrieved in a search in FIG. A1. Wactlar, therefore, does not teach second display means that displays “the portion or representation of the second segment **in response to the display by the first display means** of a first segment to which the second segment is related.” (emphasis added.)

As such, claim 67 is believed to be allowable.

Claims 68-84 depend from claim 67 and are believed to be allowable for the same reasons described above.

Reconsideration of the application and allowance of all claims are respectfully requested based on the preceding remarks. If at any time the Examiner believes that an interview would be helpful, please contact the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: 6/20/06

  
\_\_\_\_\_

Diana Fu  
Registration No. 52,924  
V 408-973-2593  
F 408-973-2595

VAN PELT, YI & JAMES LLP  
10050 N. Foothill Blvd., Suite 200  
Cupertino, CA 95014